

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- x
ELIANT R. DRIGUOZ

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ROYAL CONSUMER NE. PRODS.

Defendant(s).
----- x

ORDER

LEWIS A. KAPLAN, *District Judge.*

Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. The complaint or notice of removal, as applicable, fails adequately to allege the existence of subject matter jurisdiction because, perhaps among other things, it fails adequately to allege:

- ☒ The citizenship of one or more natural persons. *See, e.g., Sun Printing & Publishing Ass'n v. Edwards*, 194 U.S. 377 (1904); *Leveraged Leasing Administration Corp. v. PacifiCorp Capital, Inc.*, 87 F.3d 44 (2d Cir. 1996).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more corporations. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more partnerships. *See Carden v. Arkoma Assocs.*, 494 U.S. 195 (1990).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more limited liability companies. *See Handlesman v. Bedford Village Green Assocs. L.P.*, 213 F.3d 48, 52 (2d Cir. 2000).
- ☐ The nature and citizenship of one or more business entities.
- ☐ The timely removal of the action from state court.

Absent the filing, on or before 5/18/07, of an amended complaint or notice of removal, as the case may be, adequately alleging the existence of subject matter jurisdiction, the action will be dismissed or, if removed, remanded. *u*

SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/8/07

Lewis A. Kaplan

Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Judge

